1	
2	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK: CIVIL TERM: PART 36
3	In the Matter of the Rehabilitation of
4	FINANCIAL GUARANTY INSURANCE COMPANY,
5	Index No. 401265/2012
6	Index No.
7	401265/2012  New York Supreme Court
8	60 Centre Street New York, New York 10007
9	December 18, 2012
10	BEFORE:
11	
12	HON. DORIS LING-COHAN, JSC
13	APPEARANCES:
14	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
15	Attorneys for the Rehabilitator 767 Fifth Avenue
16	New York, New York 10153 BY: GARY T. HOLTZER, ESQ.
17	RICHARD W. SLACK, ESQ. and KELLY DiBLASI, ESQ.
18	CARTER LERVARD & MILRIPN LLD
19	CARTER LEDYARD & MILBURN LLP Attorneys for Bank of New York Mellon Trust company
20	and Bank of New York Mellon NA 2 Wall Street
21	New York, New York 10005 BY: JAMES GADSDEN, ESQ.
22	
23	
24	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
25	Senior Court Reporter 60 Centre Street - Room 420
26	New York, New York 10007 Phone: (646) 386-3093

```
1
 2
     APPEARANCES: (continued)
 3
     ALSTON & BIRD LLP
 4
     Attorneys for Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.
     90 Park Avenue
 5
     New York, New York 10016-1387
     BY: MICHAEL E. JOHNSON, ESQ.
 6
 7
     HODGSON RUSS LLP
 8
     Attorneys for Manufacturers and Traders Trust Co.
     1540 Broadway, 24th Floor
     New York, New York 10005
 9
     BY: CARMINE J. CASTELLANO, ESQ.
10
11
     KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP
12
     Attorneys for Jefferson County Warranty Holders
     1177 Avenue of the Americas
13
     New York, New York 10005
     BY: JONATHAN M. WAGNER, ESQ.
14
15
     FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP
16
     Attorneys for U.S. Bank National Association
     90 S. Seventh Street
17
     Minneapolis, Minnesota 55042
     BY: MICHAEL B. FISCO, ESQ.
18
19
     LINKLATERS LLP
20
     Attorneys for Children's Health Partnership Holdings
     PTY Ltd.
21
     1345 Avenue of the Americas
     New York, New York 10005
22
     BY: PAUL HESSLER, ESQ.
23
     DECHERT LLP
2.4
     Attorneys for Aurelius Capital Management LP
     1095 Avenue of the Americas
25
     New York, New York 10036
     BY: JAMES M. McGUIRE, ESQ.
26
```

1	
2	APPEARANCES: (continued)
3	CHEDDADD MILLIAN DIGHTED & HAMDTON LLD
4	SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP Attorneys for U.S. Bank
5	30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10005
6	BY: EDWARD H. TILLINGHAST, III, ESQ.
7	MODGINI LEHITG & DOGNILIG LLD
8	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP Attorneys for Deutsche Bank National Trust Company
9	101 Park Avenue New York, New York 10005
10	BY: ANDREW D. GOTTFRIED, ESQ.
11	
12	LAW OFFICE OF THOMAS M. MULLANEY
13	Attorneys for CQS, ABS Master Fund Limited, CQS Select, ABS Master Fund Ltd. and CQS ABS Alpha Master
14	Fund Ltd. 275 Madison Avenue, 37th floor
15	New York, New York 10005 BY: THOMAS M. MULLANEY, ESQ.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

# 1 Proceedings THE COURT: On the record. 2 3 Let the record reflect that this matter had been set by order to show cause way back in November, I believe, 4 November of 2012, November 5th, setting today as the 5 hearing date in the matter of Rehabilitation of Financial 6 7 Guarantee Insurance Company or also known as FGIC by those who love this case, including myself. 8 9 And on behalf of the rehabilitator, let's note 10 your appearance. 11 MR. HOLTZER: Good afternoon, your Honor. 12 Gary Holtzer, Weil, Gotshal & Manges on behalf of 13 the Superintendent of Financial Services as the 14 rehabilitator of Financial Guarantee Insurance Company 15 which, as your Honor indicated, we refer to as FGIC. 16 Here at the table with me is my partner, Richard 17 Slack, and Kelly DeBlasi from our firm. 18 THE COURT: Very good. Thank you very much. 19 In addition, we have another set of cards and I 20 understand that these are the people who have filed 21 objections and are still objectors. 22 Would that be fair to say, this set of cards? 23 MR. HOLTZER: Yes, your Honor. Although some of 2.4 the objections that are on file we have narrowed and we

will explain to the Court the status of them during today's

25

26

session.

1	Proceedings
2	THE COURT: Okay. Let's take the appearances,
3	starting from the right.
4	MR. GADSDEN: Thank you, your Honor.
5	James Gadsden, Carter Ledyard & Milburn, LLP for
6	the Bank of New York Mellon and the Bank of New York Mellon
7	Trust Company NA as indentured trustee.
8	THE COURT: Good afternoon. Thank you.
9	MR. JOHNSON: Good afternoon, your Honor.
10	Michael Johnson from Alston & Bird for Wells Fargo Bank NA,
11	which is also an indentured trustee and trustee on certain
12	RMBS trusts.
13	MR. GOTTFRIED: Andrew Gottfried, Morgan Lewis &
14	Bockius LLP, counsel for Deutsche Bank National Trust
15	Company and Deutsche Bank Trust Company America.
16	THE COURT: We should be able to get you a seat,
17	sir. I think there is an extra seat, if one of my
18	volunteers could. The court lacks a lot of resources, but
19	chairs we should be able to get enough of.
20	MR. WAGNER: Jonathan Wagner from Kramer Levin,
21	representing the Jefferson County Warrant Holders.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	MR. FISCO: Michael Fisco from Faegre Baker
24	Daniels representing U.S. Bank National Association in the
25	capacity as trustee.
26	THE COURT: Very good. Thank you.

### 1 Proceedings MR. HESSLER: Paul Hessler of Linklaters LLP on 2 3 behalf of Children's Health Partnership Holdings Limited. THE COURT: Very good. Thank you. 4 5 MR. McGUIRE: There are additional objectors, your Honor. James McGuire and Allan Brilliant of Dechert 6 7 LLP for Aurelius Capital Management, LP. THE COURT: If you would like to step into the 8 9 well that makes sense. All right. MR. TILLINGHAST: Edward Tillinghast from 10 11 Sheppard Mullin on of behalf of U.S. Bank National Association. 12 13 MR. MULLANEY: Thomas M. Mullaney from the Law 14 Office of Thomas M. Mullaney for CQS ABS Master Fund 15 Limited, CQS Select ABS Master Fund Limited and CQS ABS 16 Alpha Master Fund Limited. MR. CASTELLANO: Carmine Castellano from the law 17 18 firm of Hodgson Russ for M & T Bank. 19 Thank you very much. THE COURT: 20 In terms of the objectors, do we have all of your 21 I would ask that you hand up the cards to the court 22 officer and we will staple it onto this notice of 23 appearance sheet. 2.4 Okay, moving on. I understand that a number of 25 the objections have been resolved. I commend all sides for 26 working hard on doing that in terms of building a

### 1 Proceedings 2 consensus. I understand that there is an Exhibit 1 that 3 reflects in bold the continuing objections; is that 4 correct? 5 MR. HOLTZER: Yes, your Honor. We have taken the chart that was attached to our reply and for the Court's 6 7 benefit, shaded the objections in bold that will continue after confirming with each of the objectors what those are. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. So basically we are 10 referring to what is before the Court as Exhibit 1, the 11 omnibus response chart; is that correct? 12 MR. HOLTZER: That's correct, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Okay. I would like to remark this so 14 it doesn't get confused with the other Exhibit 1. Right? 15 There is another Exhibit 1 in the reply, I believe. Is that correct or is it --16 17 MR. HOLTZER: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Which looks very similar to this, but 19 clearly is different. 20 MR. HOLTZER: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to mark this 22 Exhibit 1A, 1A for amended. Okay? 23 MR. HOLTZER: Okay. 2.4 THE COURT: And this will be now a Court exhibit 25 so we know exactly what we are talking about and we are going off the record so it will be marked as a Court 26

## 1 Proceedings 2 Exhibit. 3 (Whereupon, omnibus response chart was marked Court's Exhibit 1A.) 4 THE COURT: Let the record reflect that one of 5 the objectors requested a copy of what now has been marked 6 7 as Court Exhibit 1A and copies are being handed around. If there are not enough copies, I would assume 8 9 the rehabilitator will make copies available later on. 10 Okay. So the first order of business is to make sure that 11 what has been marked as 1A really is the document that 12 embodies what has been agreed to as the outstanding 13 objections. 14 Is that correct? 15 MR. HOLTZER: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay. So I would ask that each 17 objector look over the document 1A and see if it accurately 18 reflects their position at this point in time. 19 MR. HOLTZER: Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: And perhaps if it does not, then we 21 will take you in order. 22 Go ahead. 23 MR. HOLTZER: Your Honor, perhaps while they are 2.4 reviewing it to confirm that it's marked in accordance with 25 our discussion and confirmations with them, we could simply inform the Court that there were two other objections, one 26

1	Proceedings
2	filed by Assured Guarantee and the other one filed
3	THE COURT: By, I'm sorry, who? That's part of
4	court record; is that correct?
5	MR. HOLTZER: Yes, it is.
6	THE COURT: So I have my own summary.
7	MR. HOLTZER: One is filed by Assured Guarantee
8	Corp. and two of its affiliates. That objection, we
9	entered into a stipulation with Assured and they filed a
10	notice withdrawing their objection.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	MR. HOLTZER: Second one was an objection filed
13	by Jefferson County Alabama, a Chapter 9 debtor. We
14	entered into stipulations with that Chapter 9 debtor and
15	they have withdrawn their objection.
16	THE COURT: All right. Very good.
17	MR. HOLTZER: In addition, your Honor, there is
18	one party here, perhaps, that we can stip to now, which is
19	Item No. 8 on the exhibit, which is M & T or Manufacturers
20	and Traders
21	THE COURT: Just give me one second. When you
22	say Item No. 8, you are not referring to page okay, I
23	see it. It's Objection 8?
24	MR. HOLTZER: Yes, page 31.
25	THE COURT: Page 31.
26	MR. HOLTZER: That's right.

# 1 Proceedings 2 THE COURT: All right. 3 MR. HOLTZER: And we understand that Manufacturers in connection with their joinder is simply 4 5 monitoring today and intends to withdraw their objection. Their counsel is here today and can confirm that 6 7 on the record as well. THE COURT: All right. Do we have that attorney 8 9 present? 10 MR. CASTELLANO: We do, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right, so the objection of 12 Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company has been withdrawn 13 on the record. 14 Any other items related to Exhibit 1A? 15 MR. HOLTZER: Not related to Exhibit 1A, your 16 Honor, but in addition to the objections, the rehabilitator 17 has had discussions with certain other counter parties to 18 FGIC contracts and transactions and has entered into six 19 commutation agreements or settlement agreements. 20 settlement agreements are similar in form and substance to 21 the ones that this Court has approved, as we have submitted 22 them in advance of this hearing. So there remains now six that were included in 23 2.4 our plan supplement. They are not conditioned on the 25 approval of the plan, your Honor. And if those would be

approved by the Court, since we haven't had objections to

26

## 1 Proceedings 2 those six, those parties that we're commuting with, like 3 the other parties we have commuted with, would be able to 4 be removed from our proceeding and we can go effective on 5 those commutations. We handed to your clerk a separate order with 6 7 respect to those six commutations. THE COURT: I believe I have this order in front 8 9 And does anybody want to be heard on that issue? 10 Okay, let the record reflect there was silence. Moving on. The Court will sign that order. Let 11 12 the record reflect that my court attorney will do a quick 13 review and I expect that it will be signed before you leave 14 the building. 15 MR. HOLTZER: Thank you, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Next? 17 MR. HOLTZER: Next we are up to the exhibit, your 18 And we can turn some pages to confirm with each 19 objecting party --20 THE COURT: That's fine. 21 MR. HOLTZER: -- that we have properly marked the 22 document to reflect the status. 23 THE COURT: Okay, very good. Moving to objection 2.4 of The Bank of New York. It makes sense to go in the 25 order, right? 26 MR. HOLTZER: It does, your Honor.

2.4

#### Proceedings

Honor, there are five key features to the plan and some of these aspects of the plan are the subject of certain of the objections. And so if it's helpful to your Honor, we can outline that as opposed to an alternative way would be, depending on how much time we have, we would simply turn pages and identify, as the exhibit is organized, Item A, Item B, Item C. And you would simply know which ones are still there without understanding the background. It just depends how much time you have now, your Honor.

THE COURT: I wish I could read minds because I have to read the jurors' minds. So I don't know exactly how much time I have.

I would suggest that to make sure that we cover the things we need to cover today, that we do it in the logical way, which is by objection of BNY and ask the counsel who represents the Bank of New York whether they agree with what is set forth here as to items that have been resolved and have not been resolved.

MR. HOLTZER: That's fine, your Honor.

THE COURT: And then on another day if those other issues are not resolved, you can set forth that for me.

MR. HOLTZER: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. So Bank of New York,

|| | Ellen Rubin, CSR, RPR

1 Proceedings 2 could you please just indicate that you represent the Bank 3 of New York. 4 MR. GADSDEN: Yes, your Honor. 5 James Gadsden, Carter Ledyard & Milburn, attorneys for the Bank of New York Mellon and the Bank of 6 7 New York Mellon Trust Company, NA. And I do confirm that the exhibit accurately 8 9 reflects the objections which I have agreed with Mr. Holtzer have been resolved and those that remain 10 11 outstanding. We will, of course, want to see the final 12 plan and any modifications that are made to it from here 13 forward in order to finally and formally withdraw all the 14 objections, but this is an accurate status report of where 15 we are today. 16 THE COURT: Okay, very good. 17 So moving on now to page 2, sorry, Objection 2, 18 page 10. 19 Deutsche Bank. 20 MR. GOTTFRIED: Good afternoon, your Honor. 21 Andrew Gottfried, Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP for the 22 Deutsche Bank entities. 23 I can also confirm that this accurately reflects 2.4 the status of our discussions at this time. And similarly 25 to the Bank of New York, of course, things are dependent upon any further revisions to the plan that might be 26

1 Proceedings 2 problematic. We are not anticipating that, but of course, 3 we would reserve all our rights with respect to further 4 changes. THE COURT: All right, understood. And of 5 course, you are reserving your rights, but I'm hopeful that 6 7 you would work it out. All right, moving on. U.S. Bank, page 12. 8 9 MR. FISCO: Mike Fisco, your Honor, Faegre Baker 10 Daniels. 11 This exhibit does accurately reflect the 12 remaining objections, same qualifications as the other 13 trustees. We will also note that we are making progress on 14 two of the four that remain outstanding to us, but would 15 also note for the Court and the record that these are just 16 summaries of the objections that have been filed. 17 THE COURT: Very good. 18 Moving on, Item 4, Wells Fargo, page 15. 19 MR. JOHNSON: Michael Johnson from Alston & Bird 20 on behalf of Wells Fargo Bank. 21 And your Honor, I can also confirm that the 22 bolding that has been used here does indicate those 23 objections as to which appears we have reached resolution, 2.4 subject to the reservation of rights that you have heard 25 from other counsel. And the non-bolded ones -- excuse me. The bolded ones are the objections that we have not reached 26

1	Proceedings
2	resolution yet. It's the non-bolded ones where we do seem
3	to have reached resolution with the rehabilitator.
4	THE COURT: Thank you.
5	Item 5, objection of JeffCo Holders, page 18.
6	MR. WAGNER: Jonathan Wagner from Kramer Levin.
7	Subject to are Mr. Gottfried's caveats, this
8	chart is accurate. Essentially, our first two objections
9	remain and the third one has been resolved.
10	THE COURT: Item 6, objection of CQS, page 24.
11	MR. HOLTZER: On that one there hasn't been any
12	resolution so the objection remains outstanding.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. MULLANEY: Tom Mullaney from the Law Offices
15	of Thomas M. Mullaney.
16	The chart does accurately reflect the objections
17	that we have put.
18	THE COURT: For CQS?
19	MR. MULLANEY: Yes, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Very good.
21	Item No. 7 is CHP. That's the Children's Health
22	something, right?
23	MR. HESSLER: Yes, your Honor. Paul Hessler of
24	Linklaters LLP on behalf of Children's Health Partnership
25	Holdings PTY Limited or CPH LP.
26	Your Honor, I do confirm that Section 7 of the

1 Proceedings 2 chart accurately reflects the status of the objections we 3 have made and/or resolved on behalf of CHP. THE COURT: Thank you. All right Item No. 8, 4 5 Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company I believe was -okay, that is 31, was withdrawn on the record. 6 7 MR. HOLTZER: That's correct. THE COURT: That was withdrawn on the record. 8 9 And then we have Aurelius, that is Item No. 9, page 31. 10 MR. McGUIRE: James McGuire, Dechert LLP for 11 Aurelius. 12 Your Honor, Aurelius join in the objection to the 13 Bank of New York and U.S. Bank and I confirm that the 14 statements made by counsel for U.S. Bank and for Bank of 15 New York are accurate. And we agree as well with the 16 accuracy of the statement of objections. Subject to the 17 same caveat identified by counsel for U.S. Bank and Bank of New York. 18 19 THE COURT: This is a point of information. Now, 20 as to 9, that's not bolded. 21 MR. HOLTZER: I'm sorry? 22 THE COURT: As to Item No. 9, 31, that was not 23 There are still outstanding objections? 2.4 still not been resolved in other words? 25 MR. HOLTZER: Yes, your Honor. It's a joineder, has not been resolved. 26

1 Proceedings 2 THE COURT: So this actually should be bold, 3 right? MR. HOLTZER: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Okay. I just wanted to make sure 5 6 that I was not reading it incorrectly. 7 Anything else for the record as to Exhibit 1A? MR. MULLANEY: Yes, your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: Please state again. 10 MR. MULLANEY: Thomas M. Mullaney for CQS. 11 We would also join in -- I think it's subsumed in 12 the arguments that are reflected in the chart -- the notion 13 that CQS ought to have the opportunity to opt out. 14 THE COURT: I know that was requested by some of 15 the objectors and I believe the rehabilitator opposes the opt out. And having read your brief, that's for another 16 17 day, okay. So you are just preserving that. We won't take 18 argument as to that. 19 MR. HOLTZER: Yes, your Honor. Except that 20 particular objection was not in their submitted objections. 21 So we would object to them joining other parties' 22 objections at this point. The purpose of the hearing in 23 process is to understand what is still outstanding. 2.4 THE COURT: So we need a clarification. It says 25 Aurelius, that is Item No. 9, Aurelias Capital Management LP joins joint in the objections filed by U.S. Bank and 26

### 1 Proceedings 2 Bank of New York. So if they didn't have an opt-out 3 objection, you don't. That's what he is saying. MR. HOLTZER: Yes, your Honor. Except that's CQS 4 5 not Aurelius. They didn't file a joinder, they filed an 6 objection. MR. MULLANEY: Yes, your Honor, CQS filed its own 7 objections. We did not join in the arguments at that time 8 9 of any of the objectors. I think it is -- it would be very 10 hard to say that what one objector has the opportunity to 11 opt out, but that factually our objections wouldn't fall in 12 that category. But I was hopeful of preserving that for 13 the record to argue it another day. 14 THE COURT: We are talking about Item 6, right? 15 Item 6? 16 MR. HOLTZER: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Not Aurelius. All right, I understand. 18 And the rehabilitator's position is that that was 19 20 not an objection that was properly made. 21 MR. HOLTZER: Correct, your Honor. It does not 22 appear in their objections. 23 THE COURT: Seems you have a problem. 2.4 That may be, your Honor. MR. MULLANEY: If the 25 rehabilitator objects, I quess that's -- objects to that objection, we won't have it preserved. But might I say, I 26

2.4

#### Proceedings

don't understand how some objectors would have the opportunity to opt out if it were not given to all the objectors.

THE COURT: It seems to me that we have not decided whether anybody can opt out. Having reviewed the briefs, without deciding it right now, it seems that there is case law that says you can't opt out. But nonetheless, I understand what you are saying. I hear you. We need not decide this right this minute, because we are not going forward with a number of other things this minute anyway. But I think that you folks need to clarify whether that is a legitimate objection at this juncture, given that it was not filed.

Yes, go ahead.

MR. MULLANEY: I would just add one thing for the record. Because it's, I think, a purely legal issue and because it's not going to be decided today and because the rehabilitator did reply to the arguments of others about an opt-out right, I don't think there -- not I don't think -- there would not be any prejudice to permitting CQS to join in that legal argument to the extent it is not a necessary part of the objections it has made.

THE COURT: Would you like to respond?

MR. HOLTZER: Sure, your Honor.

I agree with you, I don't think this issue is an

| | Ellen Rubin, CSR, RPR

issue that we necessarily should decide today. But we do object on the grounds that we have settled quite a number of matters in this situation. And the point of the exercise is to narrow down what the litigable issues still are. And if we get resolved with one party, we now have somebody who is making further objections that weren't in the universe of issues that we are trying to resolve with other parties. And that's the reason why we don't think it's a good idea to start letting people file objections that have been made timely previously.

THE COURT: All right. The Court notes that this matter was brought by order to show cause, and my recollection is the order to show cause did provide time periods for opposition papers, filing of objections. And it is inappropriate to allow a party orally to request essentially that they be allowed to interpose an objection at the hearing today.

So the Court denies that application, to the extent it was an application, and we will move on. I understand that there is, besides the six commutation agreements, signing of that order, there is an issue of discovery; is that right?

MR. HOLTZER: That's right.

THE COURT: Is that the only remaining issue?

MR. HOLTZER: Yes, your Honor.

2.4

THE COURT: All right, moving on.

MR. HOLTZER: Our request, your Honor, is that we obtain from you a hearing date at the end of January, if possible, depending on whether we are able to further the issues, but on the current set of issues that have been outlined in Exhibit 1A today, we would expect that we would need at least one day of hearings, and it would probably best serve us to have two days to make sure we can be completed.

In that regard, your Honor, we have received a couple of requests regarding discovery. And my partner, Richard Slack, can address any issues concerning how we would handle discovery, but our approach, your Honor, would be that we would like to get a control date from your Honor early in January. And we will begin the process of meeting and conferring with anybody that has a discovery request, whether it's for document discovery or some other discovery. And your Honor, this is a special proceeding so we have a view about how the discovery should go and that it should be narrowly tailored. But we will meet and confer with parties, in advance, with a control date and only bring your Honor any disputes that we have well in advance of the trial date.

THE COURT: As a special proceeding there is no automatic right to discovery. I will say that at the

1 Proceedings 2 outset. I believe that's governed by Article 4 of the 3 CPLR. 4 MR. HOLTZER: Yes, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: And to the extent that the parties 6 are mindful of that and they can work out some discovery on 7 consent, that if it's on consent, it's on consent and the Court is happy to allow that to go forward. 8 9 To the extent that it means that one side is 10 demanding interrogatories of 20 pages with subsections, as 11 we all have gotten in the past and dreaded, that probably 12 will not be allowed. So I say that I hope you folks can 13 work it out. We are happy to give you a control date. We 14 will work that out according to our calendar and juggle a 15 number of things. 16 So you need a control date. You need two dates 17 for hearings, two full dates. And the Court will endeavor 18 to work that out. I did hold this date open. I did not 19 put anything else on, but unfortunately, the jury trial 20 went over and this is what we are left with. So I will try 21 to keep those two dates open and we will proceed from 22 there. 23 Anything else before we actually work out the 2.4 control dates and the two hearing dates? Is there anything 25 else for the record at this point in time?

MR. MULLANEY: For CQS, I would just, for the

26

2.4

2 record, like to note my exception to your Honor's ruling.

THE COURT: Okay. Heard and understood.

MR. HOLTZER: Your Honor, one other item for the record.

We have had when I mentioned discovery requests, requests for some depositions. We are skeptical that depositions will be needed in order to get the or obtain the information that will be needed for the trial. And in the conferences that we will hold with parties that are requesting depositions, we will elicit from them exactly what information sets they need and we will attempt to provide it to them in the most efficient way. But I did not want the record to go unmentioned regarding requests specifically for deposition and our view for the need for those in this proceeding.

THE COURT: As indicated, it's a special proceeding. The idea of a special proceeding is to move quickly and that would probably be the antithesis of moving quickly. And again, if the parties consent, that's one thing. But I'm letting my views — I will keep an open mind — but I'm letting my views be expressed on the record because it is a special proceeding and the rehabilitator does have a duty which the Court is mindful of. And I'm sure all sides do understand. But I'm hopeful that you will work it out.

#### Proceedings

So anything else for the record at this point in

time?

have that opportunity.

\_ -

MR. WAGNER: Yes. On behalf of Jefferson County Warrant Holders, I had a question about the hearing. I don't know if it's premature to ask, but will we have the opportunity to cross-examine witnesses? There have been two affiants and I think it will help us in terms of our discussion about discovery to understand whether we will

THE COURT: Would you like to speak to that?

MR. HOLTZER: We can meet and confer with counsel regarding that request and attempt to elicit from counsel what is not in the affidavit or what's in there that they have questions about in order to determine whether that would be appropriate. And we can certainly report back to you in the control date as to the result of those discussions.

THE COURT: And the Court would entertain position papers essentially on that precise issue, whether there is a right to cross-examine, et cetera. So I throw that back to you, folks. Hopefully, you will work it out. And then if not, you can state your positions in writing. I just want to remind that there is a page limit in the New York County Supreme Court. And this is one of about a thousand cases I do a year. So please cut to the chase.

2

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

MR. HOLTZER: Thank you.

THE COURT: And having said that, I'm very, very thankful for the Exhibit 1, which does summarize things. We actually did our own summary before we saw Exhibit 1, but we do appreciate Exhibit 1 very much and now Exhibit 1A. This case certainly has killed a few trees. And so anything to expedite and to help the Court is much appreciated.

Anything else for the record?

MR. HESSLER: Paul Hessler of Linklaters, again on behalf of Children's Health Partnership Holdings PTY Limited. We will certainly take it up with the rehabilitator as to what discovery they would consent to.

One other thing that I would add to the Court's consideration about the process between now and the evidentiary hearing in this matter, at the risk of consigning more trees to death, in the interests of potentially helping the Court frame some issues, we would also propose that in addition to whatever discovery we can agree, that it would make sense for the objectors to have the ability to submit a surreply in advance of the hearing for two reasons; both to address the contractual submissions that were submitted on reply by the rehabilitator, but also to the extent that the parties were able to agree on certain discovery, to marshal whatever

2.4

emerges from that discovery, and to reflect that for the Court along with whatever affect that may have on the parties' arguments.

THE COURT: Would you like to speak to that?

MR. HOLTZER: Sure, your Honor.

And we are happy to take this up at the control date. With all due respect to counsel, the fact that we submitted affidavits to try to be transparent and indicate to all parties what our affiants were saying in connection with our reply --

THE COURT: Which the Court will note that one of the objections was that there wasn't enough transparency, so you were trying to address that.

Okay, go ahead.

MR. HOLTZER: We not only address it in the affidavits that we submitted, but also in a very extensive disclosure statement that we filed along with the plan, which contained a substantial amount of details about the plan, and isn't required by statute with but we did it anyway in order to enhance transparency. I don't think all of those efforts triggers entitlement to file a surreply which was not contemplated at the outset.

THE COURT: As I indicated, this matter was brought by order to show cause. The order to show cause did not contemplate a surreply. It did allow a reply. I

1	Proceedings
2	think that if we are at the point where I need additional
3	papers, I can certainly ask at the hearing for essentially
4	post-hearing memorandums of law and on a very short time
5	frame.
6	MR. HOLTZER: Thank you.
7	THE COURT: So at this point the answer is no,
8	but I will be open to that if necessary, all right?
9	MR. HESSLER: Thank you, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Okay. So anything else for the
11	record before we pick you again?
12	MR. MULLANEY: Yes. I will be the tree killer
13	and ask your Honor if this will be put into a written
14	order.
15	THE COURT: Oh, yes. Which part?
16	MR. MULLANEY: Well, my part.
17	THE COURT: Excuse me?
18	MR. MULLANEY: My part.
19	THE COURT: Your part. Your part, well, to the
20	extent that it was an oral application and I denied it,
21	it's on the record and that's the part.
22	MR. MULLANEY: Does your Honor so-order
23	transcripts?
24	THE COURT: If you order it from the reporter and
25	you provide it to me, I will so-order it.
26	MR. MULLANEY: At least I'll make the court

1 Proceedings 2 reporter happy. 3 THE COURT: Very good. And she is a very good court reporter. We should make her happy. 4 5 All right, anything else for the record? MR. HOLTZER: Nothing for us, your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. So we need to pick essentially three dates. And we can go off the record for that. 8 9 I will be checking on my jury in the meantime and 10 we need to figure out some dates. 11 (Discussion is held off the record.) 12 THE COURT: Back on the record. 13 Let the record reflect that the Court has 14 provided a number of proposed dates which seem agreeable to 15 everyone. 16 As a control date for discovery, we are providing 17 January 15th at 2:30. And as hearing dates, we are 18 providing January 28th at -- we don't get a court officer 19 until 10, so we are saying 10 o'clock. You are welcome to 20 come earlier. As well as January 29th, we are saying 21 10 o'clock as well. 22 We have actually a number of cases on already. 23 Our January is already booked, so we are doing the best we 2.4 can. We may have to move things around. And I just asked 25 my court attorney to ask if there was a feasible way to

26

notify everybody, so you didn't have to come in today, for

1

#### Proceedings

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

example. We got a lot of things accomplished, but if there was a feasible way to notify everyone, I don't want to engage in ex-parte discussion or anything else, but on scheduling, perhaps just dealing directly with the rehabilitator and then having that message -- or unless you folks have a website that you are posting things. know how you are working it. Somehow you are able to communicate with everyone, which is very commendable.

MR. HOLTZER: If you inform counsel to the rehabilitator, which is us, we will let this intimate gathering know of any adjournment.

THE COURT: Unfortunately, we can't serve cocktails, but nonetheless, we are happy to have you all here and those are the dates provided at this juncture. We will endeavor to keep them free.

There was one question, actually a couple of questions. I had made notes. There seems to be some issues that there were no objections on, which were raised by your reply, which is the charter bylaws, the proof of policy form, and they were basically plan documents which needed approval. I don't know if that's still an issue that needs to be addressed today or some other time.

MR. HOLTZER: Your Honor, for the record, those documents are implementing documents that are contained in the plan supplement. They would be approved at the time

1	Proceedings
2	that the plan is approved and they don't need to be
3	addressed by Court now.
4	THE COURT: All right, not a problem.
5	Thank you very much. See you next time. Have a
6	wonderful holiday season everyone. It was a delight to
7	have you all here.
8	* * * *
9	Certified to be a true and accurate record of the
10	within proceedings.
11	
12	Ellen Rubin, CSR, RPR Senior Court Reporter
13	Benior Court Reporter
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	